



Western Riverside Council of Governments

Central Zone Committee

**Tuesday, January 21, 2020
2:00 p.m.**

**Moreno Valley City Hall
14177 Frederick Street
Moreno Valley, CA 92553**

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and Government Code Section 54954.2, if special assistance is needed to participate in the Zone Committee meeting, please contact WRCOG at (951) 405-6703. Notification of at least 48 hours prior to meeting time will assist staff in assuring that reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility at the meeting. In compliance with the Government Code Section 54957.5, agenda materials distributed within 72 hours prior to the meeting, which are public records relating to an open session agenda items, will be available for inspection by members of the public prior to the meeting at 3390 University Avenue, Suite 450, Riverside, CA, 92501.

The Zone Committee may take any action on any item listed on the agenda, regardless of the Requested Action.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER**
- 2. SELF INTRODUCTIONS**
- 3. PUBLIC COMMENTS**

At this time members of the public can address the Zone Committee regarding any items with the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee that are not separately listed on this agenda. Members of the public will have an opportunity to speak on agenda items at the time the item is called for discussion. No action may be taken on items not listed on the agenda unless authorized by law. Whenever possible, lengthy testimony should be presented to the Committee in writing and only pertinent points presented orally.

4. CONSENT CALENDAR

All items listed under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and may be enacted by one motion. Prior to the motion to consider any action by the Committee, any public comments on any of the Consent Items will be heard. There will be no separate action unless members of the Committee request specific items be removed from the Consent Calendar.

- A. Summary Minutes from the February 18, 2016, Special Central Zone Technical Advisory Committee meeting are Available for Consideration.**

Requested Action: 1. *Approve the Summary Minutes from the February 18, 2016, Special Central Zone Technical Advisory Committee meeting.*

5. REPORTS / DISCUSSION

A. Review Draft 2020 Central Zone 5-Year Transportation Improvement Program

Requested Action: 1. *Review and take action on the proposed Draft 2020 5-Year Central Zone Transportation Improvement Program.*

6. ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS *Members*

Members are invited to suggest additional items to be brought forward for discussion at future Zone Committee meetings.

7. GENERAL ANNOUNCEMENTS

8. NEXT MEETING: The next Central Zone Committee meeting has not yet been scheduled.

9. ADJOURNMENT

1. CALL TO ORDER

The teleconference call meeting of the WRCOG Special Central Zone TAC was called to order at 8:05 a.m. at the County of Riverside Administrative Center, 3rd Floor in Christopher Gray's office.

2. ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Jonathan Smith, City of Menifee
Habib Motlagh, City of Perris
Ahmad Ansari, City of Moreno Valley
Patricia Romo, County of Riverside Transportation & Land Management (TLMA)
Dan Fairbanks, March Joint Powers Authority
Grace Alvarez, Riverside County Transportation Commission

Staff:

Christopher Gray, Director of Transportation
Donna Dean, Program Manager
Daniel Ramirez-Cornejo, Staff Analyst
Lupe Lotman, Executive Assistant

Guests:

Glenn Higa, TLMA
Steve Glynn, City of Menifee
Michael Lloyd, City of Moreno Valley
Brad Brophy, City of Perris

3. REPORTS/DISCUSSION

A. Funding for Heacock Road (City of Moreno Valley)

Christopher Gray stated the City of Moreno Valley requested to make an amendment to the 2016 Central Zone 5-Year Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) by adding a new project; Heacock Street between Gentian Avenue and Iris Avenue.

Committee member Ansari reiterated that the City's request is regarding Heacock Street. This particular street is between Iris Avenue to Gentian Avenue and is approximately 2,600 linear feet, which has remained a bottleneck two-lane road and is a TUMF eligible facility. Due to timing and the construction on the Heacock Channel, the City of Moreno Valley is requesting to add \$800,000 for the construction phase in Fiscal Year 2015/2016.

Michael Lloyd added that the timing aspect regarding the channel is critical. The channel project has been going on for about 12 years. The City has received an \$8 million grant for the construction of the Heacock Channel with the funds available October 1, 2016.

Mr. Gray clarified to the Committee that the City of Moreno Valley is at the risk of losing \$8M in federal funding if the City does not proceed with widening the road and asked if the City put out a request for proposal or bids for construction of the channel project?

Mr. Lloyd replied that the City has not yet advertised for bid because an agreement is not in place between Riverside County Flood Control and March Air Reserve Base.

Mr. Gray indicated that there is currently unallocated funds within the Zone for Fiscal Year 2015/2016. There is funding available which will not require a reallocation of funds from existing projects. The TIP can accommodate this request, there is funding for this request and it does not appear to significantly affect other projects that are underway.

Habib Motlagh indicated that the City of Perris is aware of the project and has been involved in the channel project. The City of Perris supports the project because it helps with the circulation of the transportation system.

Dan Fairbanks added that the Riverside County Flood Control project is moving forward. It does add positive things in terms of taking 300 homes out of the flood plain and taking a portion of March Reserve Base out of the flood plain. The street improvements are critical as part of that whole project.

Patricia Romo indicated that the County of Riverside understands the need for the project and potential loss of federal funding for the channel project and the County of Riverside is in support of the City. The County's concern is making sure that any projects that are under construction remain unaffected. . Mrs. Romo asked if the City of Moreno Valley has secured other funding for the Heacock Street widening

Mr. Lloyd replied that the City has a \$400,000 Measure A match.

Jonathan Smith agrees and appreciates the approach to the projects and the agencies putting projects forward. Scott Road and Holland Road overcrossing projects will soon be under construction. The City is in support of the project.

M/S/A 5-0-0; Item 3.A was approved by a unanimous vote of those members present.

Mr. Gray indicated that Donna Dean will make the appropriate adjustment to add the project and updating the TIP for this fiscal year.

B. Funding for Nuevo Road/I-215 Interchange (City of Perris)

Christopher Gray stated the City of Perris requested to make an amendment to the 2016 Central Zone 5-Year TIP as well.

Habib Motlagh provided background of the City's request. The City of Perris has a project titled Evans Road that is currently on the TIP. There is one phase that Mr. Motlagh is working with developers to build the facilities and will not request TUMF reimbursement. The request is to remove the balance that is available currently on the TIP for the Evans Road project and transfer to a new project, Nuevo Road/I-215 Interchange. The City has partial funding from Riverside County Transportation Commission from Measure A of \$1.6M and will be going out to bid on February 19, 2016. The City is requesting that the remaining balance of Evans Road be moved to Nuevo Road Interchange, Phase 1 Construction. Most of the funding will be used in Fiscal Year 2016/2017. Construction will begin in April 2016 and will be about 10 months.

Ms. Dean mentioned that interchanges are not done in phases.

Mr. Gray asked Committee Member Motlagh to explain what the improvements are for the project.

Committee Member Motlagh replied that the improvements are widening two ramps (northbound and southbound), adding retaining walls, and signals. The City is not adding any additional funding to the TIP. The City is asking to remove the funding of the two phases for Evans Road.

Mr. Gray restated for the Committee that the City currently has about \$1.3M programmed on Evans Road. WRCOG would move that funding for Nuevo Road/I-215 and there is no net change on the TIP.

Historically WRCOG has not done phases to interchange by policy but there have been a couple of instances where it has been done with delay between phases. A discussion needs to occur on how project phases are reflected in the Nexus Study and future documentation.

Jonathan Smith added that the interchange projects are increasing in cost and agencies are struggling to keep up. The City is looking at ways of phasing in these improvements. This is an issue that will continually be brought up.

Mr. Gray mentioned that WRCOG could address this through the Nexus Study by deducting previously reimbursed funding from the overall identified costs in the TUMF Network.

Committee Member Motlagh agreed that previously reimbursed funding need to be deducted from the overall allocated cost in the TUMF Network.

Patricia Romo indicated that the County does not have any concerns with this request. They are in support of it and its not changing the bottom line of the TIP and can prove to be cost effective by accelerating a phase now while construction prices are low.

Ahmad Ansari mentioned he use to work hand-and-hand with Mr. Motlagh on this project. There are important elements to the project; as there is no net change to the project, the City of Moreno Valley will be in support of this request.

Committee Member Motlagh requested to clarify that the City of Perris has a current project Evans Road that is two phases. The City wants to eliminate one of the phases and move the funds to Nuevo Road/I-215 Interchange.

Mr. Gray asked if funding from Evans Road is moved to Nuevo Road and Evans Road is left on the TIP, what balance amount would be left on Evans Road.

Committee Member Motlagh replied that he didn't know if so, it will be a minimal amount for engineering work.

Mr. Gray reported that WRCOG is in support of reallocating funds from Evans Road for this new project assuming that there is no net change in the TIP.

M/S/A 5-0-0; Item 3.B was approved by a unanimous vote of those members present.

WRCOG will need additional information from these two projects. Adjustments to the TIP will be made and sent out to the Central Zone TAC Committee for final consensus after all the documentation is received. This will be the last TIP amendment until fall 2016.

4. ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS

There were no items for future agendas.

5. NEXT MEETING: **The next Central Zone TAC meeting has not yet been scheduled.**

6. ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting was adjourned at 8:36 a.m.



Draft 2020 Central Zone 5-Year Transportation Improvement Program

Fiscal Year				FY19-20	FY20-21	FY21-22	FY22-23	FY23-24	Current Programmed Phase Balance	Total Phase Payments/ Expenditures	Original Programmed Phase Cost	
Forecast Revenues				\$ 7,000,000	\$ 7,070,000	\$ 7,105,350	\$ 7,140,877	\$ 7,212,286	\$ 41,083,852	\$ (44,374,193)	\$ 87,727,579	
Carryover Revenues (As of 7/1/2019)				\$ 17,148,842	\$ 514,990	\$ (4,565,010)	\$ (1,759,660)	\$ 4,381,216				
										2019/20 TIP		
										<i>5-Year Avail Forecast/Cash</i>	<i>5-Year Programmed</i>	<i>5-Year Balance</i>
										\$ 52,677,354.27	\$ 41,083,852	\$ 11,593,502
Available Revenue				\$ 24,148,842	\$ 7,584,990	\$ 2,540,340	\$ 5,381,216	\$ 11,593,502				
Programmed Expenditures												
		Status*	Phase**									
County of Riverside												
06-CN-RCY-1103	Cajalco Road, Alexander Street to I-215 (3.280 mi. 2 to 4 lanes)	PLN	PA&ED	\$ 340,737	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 340,737	\$ (259,263)	\$ 600,000	
		PLN	PSE	\$ 1,313,338	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,813,338	\$ -	\$ 1,813,338	
City of Menifee/County of Riverside				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -				
05-CN-RCY-1004	Newport Road/I-215 Interchange	PND	PA&ED	\$ 0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0	\$ (2,573,829)	\$ 2,573,829	
		STD	CON	\$ 466,375	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 466,375	\$ (5,238,465)	\$ 5,704,840	
City of Menifee												
16-CN-MEN-1178	Scott Road/I-215 Interchange	PLN	CON	\$ 6,604,304	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,604,304	\$ (7,395,696)	\$ 15,000,000	
18-CN-MEN-1181	Holland Road / I-215 Overcrossing	PLN	CON	\$ 552,000	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 2,800,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,452,000	\$ -	\$ 4,452,000	
18-CN-MEN-1182	Scott Road Widening (Sunset Ave to I-215)	PLN	PA&ED	\$ 677,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 677,000	\$ -	\$ 677,000	
		PLN	ENG	\$ 1,693,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,693,000	\$ -	\$ 1,693,000	
City of Moreno Valley												
05-CN-MOR-1012	Moreno Beach Drive/SR-60 Interchange Phase II - Overcrossing	CLD	PA&ED	\$ 0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0	\$ (500,000)	\$ 500,000	
		PLN	ENG	\$ 1,112,893	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,112,893	\$ (2,519,587)	\$ 3,632,480	
		PLN	ROW	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (5,626,000)	\$ 5,626,000	
		PLN	CON	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ 7,500,000	\$ (3,500,000)	\$ 11,000,000	
05-CN-MOR-1013	Nason Street/SR-60 Interchange w/Bridge Phase II	CLD	PA&ED	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (500,000)	\$ 500,000	
		CLD	ENG	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (1,381,451)	\$ 1,381,451	
		CLD	ROW	\$ 836,534	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 836,534	\$ (60,500)	\$ 836,534	
		PND	CON	\$ 163,466	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,163,466	\$ (7,768,000)	\$ 11,261,500	
City of Perris												
18-CN-PER-1180	Goetz Road (Ethanac Road to Case Road)	PND	ENG	\$ 691,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 691,000	\$ -	\$ 691,000	
		PLN	ROW	\$ 1,100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,100,000	\$ -	\$ 1,100,000	
		STD	ENG	\$ 350,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 350,000	\$ (150,000)	\$ 500,000	
13-CN-PER-1164	Perris Boulevard Widening, Phase II	PLN	ROW	\$ 1,166,587	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,166,587	\$ (627,570)	\$ 1,794,157	
		PLN	CON	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,183,413)	\$ 2,183,413	
13-CN-PER-1173	Ramona Expressway, I-215 to Webster Avenue (.4 mi. 4 to 6 lanes)	STD	PA&ED	\$ 95,663	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95,663	\$ (4,817)	\$ 100,480	
05-CN-PER-1019	Nuevo Road, Murrieta Road to Dunlap w/ 300' Bridge (0.979 mi. 2 to 4 lanes)	STD	PA&ED	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (557,629)	\$ 557,629	
		STD	CON	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 2,800,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,300,000	\$ -	\$ 4,300,000	
City of Perris/City of Menifee												
05-CN-PER-1001	Ethanac Road, Goetz Road to I-215 (1.936 mi. 2 to 4 lanes)	STD	PA&ED	\$ 0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0	\$ (182,349)	\$ 182,349	
		STD	ENG	\$ 36,497	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 36,497	\$ (281,154)	\$ 317,651	
		PLN	CON	\$ 1,532,701	\$ 3,250,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,782,701	\$ (1,217,299)	\$ 6,000,000	



Draft 2020 Central Zone 5-Year Transportation Improvement Program

Fiscal Year	FY19-20	FY20-21	FY21-22	FY22-23	FY23-24	Current Programmed Phase Balance	Total Phase Payments/ Expenditures	Original Programmed Phase Cost
Forecast Revenues	\$7,000,000	\$ 7,070,000	\$ 7,105,350	\$ 7,140,877	\$ 7,212,286	\$ 41,083,852	\$ (44,374,193)	\$ 87,727,579
Carryover Revenues (As of 7/1/2019)	\$ 17,148,842	\$ 514,990	\$ (4,565,010)	\$ (1,759,660)	\$ 4,381,216			
						2019/20 TIP		
						5-Year Avail Forecast/Cash	5-Year Programmed	5-Year Balance
						\$ 52,677,354.27	\$ 41,083,852	\$ 11,593,502
Available Revenue	\$ 24,148,842	\$ 7,584,990	\$ 2,540,340	\$ 5,381,216	\$ 11,593,502			
Programmed Expenditures, continued								
City of Moreno Valley/ March JPA								
	PLN	PA&ED	\$ 129,757	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 129,757	\$ (20,243)	\$ 150,000
16-CN-MOR-1179	Heacock Street, San Michele Road to Harley Knox Boulevard (0.74 mi. 2 to 4 lanes)	PLN	ENG	\$ 461,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 461,000
	PLN	ROW	\$ 311,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 311,000
Developer Reimbursements***			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			
Total Programmed Capital Expenditures			\$ 23,633,852	\$ 12,150,000	\$ 4,300,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ -
Total Programmed Balance Carryover*			\$ 514,990	\$ (4,565,010)	\$ (1,759,660)	\$ 4,381,216	\$ 11,593,502	

Summary Table								
Fiscal Year	FY19-20	FY20-21	FY21-22	FY22-23	FY23-24	5-Year Total Available Revenue	5-Year Total Programmed	5-Year Balance
Available Revenue	\$ 24,148,842	\$ 7,584,990	\$ 2,540,340	\$ 5,381,216	\$ 11,593,502			
Total Funded/Obligated Expenditures	\$ 23,633,852	\$ 12,150,000	\$ 4,300,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ 50,754,317	\$ 41,083,852	\$ 9,670,464
Carryover Balance	\$ 514,990	\$ (4,565,010)	\$ (1,759,660)	\$ 4,381,216	\$ 11,593,502			

Notes:

Programmed Carryover Balance does not reflect actual Zone available cash
 Status: PLN=Planned, STD=Started, PND=Pending final invoice, CPL=Completed, CLD=Phase Closed, TER=Terminated.
 Phases: planning=PA&ED, engineering=ENG, right-of-way=ROW, construction=CON
 Reimbursement Detail Tracked on Separate Spreadsheet
 Actual Revenue Forecasts, Carryover, and Payments thru 9/30/18.